

Multiple Orthogonal Polynomials

Walter Van Assche

Summer school on OPSF, University of Kent

26–30 June, 2017

Introduction

For this course I assume everybody is familiar with the basic theory of orthogonal polynomials:

For this course I assume everybody is familiar with the basic theory of orthogonal polynomials:

- Definition

$$\int p_n(x)p_m(x) d\mu(x) = \delta_{m,n}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For this course I assume everybody is familiar with the basic theory of orthogonal polynomials:

- Definition

$$\int p_n(x)p_m(x) d\mu(x) = \delta_{m,n}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

- Location of zeros

For this course I assume everybody is familiar with the basic theory of orthogonal polynomials:

- Definition

$$\int p_n(x)p_m(x) d\mu(x) = \delta_{m,n}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

- Location of zeros
- Three term recurrence relation:

$$xp_n(x) = a_{n+1}p_{n+1}(x) + b_np_n(x) + a_np_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 1,$$

For this course I assume everybody is familiar with the basic theory of orthogonal polynomials:

- Definition

$$\int p_n(x)p_m(x) d\mu(x) = \delta_{m,n}, \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

- Location of zeros
- Three term recurrence relation:

$$xp_n(x) = a_{n+1}p_{n+1}(x) + b_np_n(x) + a_np_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 1,$$

- Classical orthogonal polynomials: Jacobi - Laguerre - Hermite

Plan of the course

lecture 1: Definitions + basic properties

Plan of the course

lecture 1: Definitions + basic properties

lecture 2: Hermite-Padé, Multiple Hermite polynomials

Plan of the course

lecture 1: Definitions + basic properties

lecture 2: Hermite-Padé, Multiple Hermite polynomials

lecture 3: Multiple Laguerre polynomials (first and second kind)

lecture 1: Definitions + basic properties

lecture 2: Hermite-Padé, Multiple Hermite polynomials

lecture 3: Multiple Laguerre polynomials (first and second kind)

lecture 4: Multiple Jacobi polynomials:
Jacobi-Angelesco + Jacobi-Piñeiro

lecture 1: Definitions + basic properties

lecture 2: Hermite-Padé, Multiple Hermite polynomials

lecture 3: Multiple Laguerre polynomials (first and second kind)

lecture 4: Multiple Jacobi polynomials:
Jacobi-Angelesco + Jacobi-Piñeiro

lecture 5: Riemann-Hilbert problem

Definition: type I MOPS

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let μ_1, \dots, μ_r be positive measures on the real line, for which all the moments exist.

Definition: type I MOPS

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let μ_1, \dots, μ_r be positive measures on the real line, for which all the moments exist.

We use **multi-indices** $\vec{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ and denote their **length** by $|\vec{n}| = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_r$.

Definition: type I MOPS

Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let μ_1, \dots, μ_r be positive measures on the real line, for which all the moments exist.

We use **multi-indices** $\vec{n} = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ and denote their **length** by $|\vec{n}| = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_r$.

Definition (type I)

Type I multiple orthogonal polynomials for \vec{n} consist of the vector $(A_{\vec{n},1}, \dots, A_{\vec{n},r})$ of r polynomials, with $\deg A_{\vec{n},j} \leq n_j - 1$, for which

$$\int x^k \sum_{j=1}^r A_{\vec{n},j}(x) d\mu_j(x) = 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq |\vec{n}| - 2,$$

with normalization

$$\int x^{|\vec{n}|-1} \sum_{j=1}^r A_{\vec{n},j}(x) d\mu_j(x) = 1.$$

Definition: type II MOPS

Definition (type II)

The type II multiple orthogonal polynomial for \vec{n} is the **monic** polynomial $P_{\vec{n}}$ of degree $|\vec{n}|$ for which

$$\int x^k P_{\vec{n}}(x) d\mu_j(x) = 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n_j - 1,$$

for $1 \leq j \leq r$.

Normal indices

a multi-index \vec{n} is **normal** if the type I vector $(A_{\vec{n},1}, \dots, A_{\vec{n},r})$ exists and is unique

Normal indices

a multi-index \vec{n} is **normal** if the type I vector $(A_{\vec{n},1}, \dots, A_{\vec{n},r})$ exists and is unique



if the monic type II multiple orthogonal polynomial $P_{\vec{n}}$ exists and is unique

Normal indices

a multi-index \vec{n} is **normal** if the type I vector $(A_{\vec{n},1}, \dots, A_{\vec{n},r})$ exists and is unique



if the monic type II multiple orthogonal polynomial $P_{\vec{n}}$ exists and is unique



$$\det \begin{pmatrix} M_{n_1}^{(1)} \\ M_{n_2}^{(2)} \\ \vdots \\ M_{n_r}^{(r)} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0, \quad M_{n_j}^{(j)} = \begin{pmatrix} m_0^{(j)} & m_1^{(j)} & \cdots & m_{|\vec{n}|-1}^{(j)} \\ m_1^{(j)} & m_2^{(j)} & \cdots & m_{|\vec{n}|}^{(j)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ m_{n_j-1}^{(j)} & m_{n_j}^{(j)} & \cdots & m_{|\vec{n}|+n_j-2}^{(j)} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$m_k^{(j)} = \int x^k d\mu_j(x).$$

Special systems: Angelesco systems

Definition (Angelesco system)

The measures (μ_1, \dots, μ_r) are an **Angelesco system** if the supports of the measures are subsets of disjoint intervals Δ_j , i.e., $\text{supp}(\mu_j) \subset \Delta_j$ and $\Delta_i \cap \Delta_j = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$.

Special systems: Angelesco systems

Definition (Angelesco system)

The measures (μ_1, \dots, μ_r) are an **Angelesco system** if the supports of the measures are subsets of disjoint intervals Δ_j , i.e., $\text{supp}(\mu_j) \subset \Delta_j$ and $\Delta_i \cap \Delta_j = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$.

Usually one allows that the intervals are touching, i.e., $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_i \cap \overset{\circ}{\Delta}_j = \emptyset$ whenever $i \neq j$.

Special systems: Angelesco systems

Theorem (Angelesco, Nikishin)

The type II multiple orthogonal polynomial $P_{\vec{n}}$ has exactly n_j distinct zeros on $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$.

Special systems: Angelesco systems

Theorem (Angelesco, Nikishin)

The type II multiple orthogonal polynomial $P_{\vec{n}}$ has exactly n_j distinct zeros on $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$.

Corollary

Every multi-index \vec{n} is normal (an Angelesco system is perfect).

Special systems: Angelesco systems

Theorem (Angelesco, Nikishin)

The type II multiple orthogonal polynomial $P_{\vec{n}}$ has exactly n_j distinct zeros on $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$.

Corollary

Every multi-index \vec{n} is normal (an Angelesco system is perfect).

Exercise

Show that every $A_{\vec{n},j}$ has $n_j - 1$ zeros on $\overset{\circ}{\Delta}_j$.

Special systems: AT systems

Definition

The functions $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are a **Chebyshev system** on $[a, b]$ if every linear combination $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_i$ with $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ has at most $n - 1$ zeros on $[a, b]$.

Special systems: AT systems

Definition

The functions $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are a **Chebyshev system** on $[a, b]$ if every linear combination $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \varphi_i$ with $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \neq (0, \dots, 0)$ has at most $n - 1$ zeros on $[a, b]$.

Definition (AT-system)

The measures (μ_1, \dots, μ_r) are an **AT-system** on the interval $[a, b]$ if the measures are all absolutely continuous with respect to a positive measure μ on $[a, b]$, i.e., $d\mu_j(x) = w_j(x) d\mu(x)$ ($1 \leq j \leq r$), and for every \vec{n} the functions

$$w_1(x), xw_1(x), \dots, x^{n_1-1}w_1(x), w_2(x), xw_2(x), \dots, x^{n_2-1}w_2(x), \\ \dots, w_r(x), xw_r(x), \dots, x^{n_r-1}w_r(x)$$

are a Chebyshev system on $[a, b]$.

Theorem

For an AT-system the function

$$Q_{\vec{n}}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^r A_{\vec{n},j}(x) w_j(x)$$

has exactly $|\vec{n}| - 1$ sign changes on (a, b) .

Special systems: AT-systems

Theorem

For an AT-system the function

$$Q_{\vec{n}}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^r A_{\vec{n},j}(x) w_j(x)$$

has exactly $|\vec{n}| - 1$ sign changes on (a, b) .

Theorem

If (μ_1, \dots, μ_r) is an AT-system, then the type II multiple orthogonal polynomial $P_{\vec{n}}$ has exactly $|\vec{n}|$ distinct zeros on (a, b) .

Special systems: AT-systems

Theorem

For an AT-system the function

$$Q_{\vec{n}}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^r A_{\vec{n},j}(x)w_j(x)$$

has exactly $|\vec{n}| - 1$ sign changes on (a, b) .

Theorem

If (μ_1, \dots, μ_r) is an AT-system, then the type II multiple orthogonal polynomial $P_{\vec{n}}$ has exactly $|\vec{n}|$ distinct zeros on (a, b) .

Corollary

Every multi-index in an AT-system is normal (an AT-system is perfect).

Special systems: Nikishin systems

Introduced by E.M. Nikishin in 1980.

Special systems: Nikishin systems

Introduced by E.M. Nikishin in 1980.

Definition (Nikishin system for $r = 2$)

A Nikishin system of order $r = 2$ consists of two measures (μ_1, μ_2) , both supported on an interval Δ_2 , and such that

$$\frac{d\mu_2(x)}{d\mu_1(x)} = \int_{\Delta_1} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{x - t},$$

where σ is a positive measure on an interval Δ_1 and $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 = \emptyset$.

Special systems: Nikishin systems

Introduced by E.M. Nikishin in 1980.

Definition (Nikishin system for $r = 2$)

A Nikishin system of order $r = 2$ consists of two measures (μ_1, μ_2) , both supported on an interval Δ_2 , and such that

$$\frac{d\mu_2(x)}{d\mu_1(x)} = \int_{\Delta_1} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{x-t},$$

where σ is a positive measure on an interval Δ_1 and $\Delta_1 \cap \Delta_2 = \emptyset$.

Theorem (Nikishin, Driver-Stahl)

A Nikishin system of order two is perfect.

Special systems: Nikishin systems

Notation: $\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle$ is a measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to σ_1 and for which the Radon-Nikodym derivative is a Stieltjes transform of σ_2 :

$$d\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle(x) = \left(\int \frac{d\sigma_2(t)}{x-t} \right) d\sigma_1(x).$$

Special systems: Nikishin systems

Notation: $\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle$ is a measure which is absolutely continuous with respect to σ_1 and for which the Radon-Nikodym derivative is a Stieltjes transform of σ_2 :

$$d\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle(x) = \left(\int \frac{d\sigma_2(t)}{x-t} \right) d\sigma_1(x).$$

Definition (Nikishin system for general r)

A Nikishin system of order r on an interval Δ_r is a system of r measures $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_r)$ supported on Δ_r such that $\mu_j = \langle \mu_1, \sigma_j \rangle$ ($2 \leq j \leq r$), where $(\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_r)$ is a Nikishin system of order $r-1$ on an interval Δ_{r-1} and $\Delta_r \cap \Delta_{r-1} = \emptyset$.

Theorem (Fidalgo Prieto and López Lagomasino)

Every Nikishin system is perfect.

Special systems: Nikishin systems

Theorem (Fidalgo Prieto and López Lagomasino)

Every Nikishin system is perfect.

Proof.

Ask Guillermo

Special systems: Nikishin systems

Theorem (Fidalgo Prieto and López Lagomasino)

Every Nikishin system is perfect.

Proof.

Ask Guillermo or Ulises.



Biorthogonality

In most cases the measures (μ_1, \dots, μ_r) are absolutely continuous with respect to one fixed measure μ :

$$d\mu_j(x) = w_j(x) d\mu(x), \quad 1 \leq j \leq r.$$

We then define the **type I function**

$$Q_{\vec{n}}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^r A_{\vec{n},j}(x) w_j(x).$$

Biorthogonality

In most cases the measures (μ_1, \dots, μ_r) are absolutely continuous with respect to one fixed measure μ :

$$d\mu_j(x) = w_j(x) d\mu(x), \quad 1 \leq j \leq r.$$

We then define the **type I function**

$$Q_{\vec{n}}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^r A_{\vec{n},j}(x) w_j(x).$$

Property (biorthogonality)

$$\int P_{\vec{n}}(x) Q_{\vec{m}}(x) d\mu(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \vec{m} \leq \vec{n}, \\ 0, & \text{if } |\vec{n}| \leq |\vec{m}| - 2, \\ 1, & \text{if } |\vec{n}| = |\vec{m}| - 1. \end{cases}$$

Nearest neighbor recurrence relations for type II MOPS

$$xP_{\vec{n}}(x) = P_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_1}(x) + b_{\vec{n},1}P_{\vec{n}}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^r a_{\vec{n},j}P_{\vec{n}-\vec{e}_j}(x),$$

\vdots

$$xP_{\vec{n}}(x) = P_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_r}(x) + b_{\vec{n},r}P_{\vec{n}}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^r a_{\vec{n},j}P_{\vec{n}-\vec{e}_j}(x).$$

$$\vec{e}_j = (0, \dots, 0, \overbrace{1}^j, 0, \dots, 0)$$

Nearest neighbor recurrence relations for type I MOPS

$$xQ_{\vec{n}}(x) = Q_{\vec{n}-\vec{e}_1}(x) + b_{\vec{n}-\vec{e}_1,1}Q_{\vec{n}}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^r a_{\vec{n},j}Q_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_j}(x),$$

\vdots

$$xQ_{\vec{n}}(x) = Q_{\vec{n}-\vec{e}_r}(x) + b_{\vec{n}-\vec{e}_r,r}Q_{\vec{n}}(x) + \sum_{j=1}^r a_{\vec{n},j}Q_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_j}(x).$$

Theorem (Van Assche)

The recurrence coefficients $(a_{\vec{n},1}, \dots, a_{\vec{n},r})$ and $(b_{\vec{n},1}, \dots, b_{\vec{n},r})$ satisfy the partial difference equations

$$\begin{aligned} b_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_i,j} - b_{\vec{n},j} &= b_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_i,i} - b_{\vec{n},i} \\ \sum_{k=1}^r a_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_j,k} - \sum_{k=1}^r a_{\vec{n},k} &= \det \begin{pmatrix} b_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_j,i} & b_{\vec{n},i} \\ b_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_j,j} & b_{\vec{n},j} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \frac{a_{\vec{n},i}}{a_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_j,i}} &= \frac{b_{\vec{n}-\vec{e}_i,j} - b_{\vec{n}-\vec{e}_i,i}}{b_{\vec{n},j} - b_{\vec{n},i}} \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq i \neq j \leq r$.

Recurrence relations

Let $(\vec{n}_k)_{k \geq 0}$ be a path in \mathbb{N}^r starting from $\vec{n}_0 = \vec{0}$, such that $\vec{n}_{k+1} - \vec{n}_k = \vec{e}_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then

$$xP_{\vec{n}_k}(x) = P_{\vec{n}_{k+1}}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^r \beta_{\vec{n}_k, j} P_{\vec{n}_k - j}(x).$$

Recurrence relations

Let $(\vec{n}_k)_{k \geq 0}$ be a path in \mathbb{N}^r starting from $\vec{n}_0 = \vec{0}$, such that $\vec{n}_{k+1} - \vec{n}_k = \vec{e}_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then

$$xP_{\vec{n}_k}(x) = P_{\vec{n}_{k+1}}(x) + \sum_{j=0}^r \beta_{\vec{n}_k, j} P_{\vec{n}_{k-j}}(x).$$

An important case is the **stepline**:

$$\vec{n}_k = (\overbrace{i+1, \dots, i+1}^j, \underbrace{i, \dots, i}_{r-j}) \quad k = ri + j, \quad 0 \leq j \leq r-1.$$

Theorem (Daems and Kuijlaars)

Let $(\vec{n}_k)_{0 \leq k \leq N}$ be a path in \mathbb{N}^r starting from $\vec{n}_0 = \vec{0}$ and ending in $\vec{n}_N = \vec{n}$ (where $N = |\vec{n}|$), such that $\vec{n}_{k+1} - \vec{n}_k = \vec{e}_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then

$$(x-y) \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} P_{\vec{n}_k}(x) Q_{\vec{n}_{k+1}}(y) = P_{\vec{n}}(x) Q_{\vec{n}}(y) - \sum_{j=1}^r a_{\vec{n},j} P_{\vec{n}-\vec{e}_j}(x) Q_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_j}(y).$$






Theorem (Daems and Kuijlaars)

Let $(\vec{n}_k)_{0 \leq k \leq N}$ be a path in \mathbb{N}^r starting from $\vec{n}_0 = \vec{0}$ and ending in $\vec{n}_N = \vec{n}$ (where $N = |\vec{n}|$), such that $\vec{n}_{k+1} - \vec{n}_k = \vec{e}_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then

$$(x-y) \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} P_{\vec{n}_k}(x) Q_{\vec{n}_{k+1}}(y) = P_{\vec{n}}(x) Q_{\vec{n}}(y) - \sum_{j=1}^r a_{\vec{n},j} P_{\vec{n}-\vec{e}_j}(x) Q_{\vec{n}+\vec{e}_j}(y).$$

The sum depends only on the endpoints of the path in \mathbb{N}^r and not on the path between these points.

References

-  M.E.H. Ismail, **Classical and Quantum Orthogonal Polynomials in One Variable**, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 98, Cambridge University Press, 2005 (paperback edition 2009)
-  E.M. Nikishin, V.N. Sorokin, **Rational Approximations and Orthogonality**, Translations of Mathematical Monographs 92, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, 1991.
-  A.I. Aptekarev, **Multiple orthogonal polynomials**, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 99 (1998), 423–447.
-  A. Martínez-Finkelshtein, W. Van Assche, **What is . . . a multiple orthogonal polynomial?**, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **63** (2016), no. 9, 1029–1031.
-  W. Van Assche, **Nearest neighbor recurrence relations for multiple orthogonal polynomials**, J. Approx. Theory 163 (2011), 1427–1448.