**Question 2(a)**

**There are three key parts to answering this question successfully:**

* Judgement made about the value of the contents of the source, e.g. Source A is useful because…
* Own knowledge is used to support this judgement.
* The provenance (origin) of the source is taken into account, e.g. It was written by…

**In addition, in your conclusion, give a final judgement on the value of each source. Is one more useful than the other?**

**Source A**

**Source B**

No one who was living in London that autumn will forget the terror created by these murders. I can recall the foggy evenings, and hear again the raucous cries of the newspaper boy: “Another horrible murder, murder, mutilation, Whitechapel”. Such was the burden of their ghastly song…[The public] were quite ready to believe that any refresh murders, not at once elucidated (explained), were by the same maniac’s hand. Indeed, I remember three cases – two in 1888, and on early in 1891 – which the press ascribed to the so-called Jack the Ripper, to whom, at one time or another, some fourteen murders were attributed.

**(Memoirs of Sir Melville Macnaghten, one of the senior police officers involved in the Ripper case, *Days of My Years*, pp.54-6)**

**(*Illustrated Police News*, 24 November 1888** ‘Hutchinson’s description of the man seen to enter the house with the victim Kelly. Is he the Murderer?**)**

**Study Source A and B. How useful are Sources A and B an enquiry into the problems the police faced when investigating the Ripper murders? Explain your answer using Sources A and B and your own knowledge of the historical context.**